

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA129389**

Filing date: **03/12/2007**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92043811
Party	Defendant Michael Hwang Michael Hwang 12-25 Robin Lane Bayside, NY 11360
Correspondence Address	Irene E. Hudson FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 153 EAST 53RD STREET, 52ND FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-4611 UNITED STATES jjohnson@fr.com, hudson@fr.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Irene E. Hudson
Filer's e-mail	hudson@fr.com, jtj@fr.com, tmdocny@fr.com
Signature	/izh/
Date	03/12/2007
Attachments	Document.pdf (13 pages)(556351 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Roger Orozco and Nora Orozco,

Petitioners,

v.

Michael Hwang

Respondent.

Cancellation No.: 92/043,811

Registration No.: 2,846,833

Mark: OAKTREE (& Design)

Date Registered: May 25, 2004

**REGISTRANT'S REPLY TO PETITIONERS' OPPOSITION TO REGISTRANT'S
MOTION TO RESET REGISTRANT'S TESTIMONY PERIOD
AND ALL SUBSEQUENT DATES PURSUANT TO
TBMP §§ 703.01(k), (m), 37 C.F.R. § 2.125(a)**


Respondent/Registrant Michael Hwang ("Registrant" or "Hwang") hereby submits the following reply regarding the motion to reset Registrant's testimony period and all subsequent testimony and briefing periods pursuant to Sections 703.01(k), (m) of the TBMP, 37 C.F.R. § 2.125(a). Registrant's motion is based on Petitioners' failure to serve Registrant with complete copies of the transcripts of the testimony and the documentary exhibits. Petitioners contend that such failure is due to the confidential nature of such documents. In its moving papers, counsel for Registrant agreed to use the Board's standard protective order. Petitioners fail to state whether the terms of such order are sufficient and have yet to themselves sign such order. Counsel for Registrant has executed the Board's standard protective order and forwarded such to counsel for Petitioners. Annexed hereto as Exhibit A is a letter to Petitioner's counsel forwarding the executed copy of the Board's standard protective order.

Registrant hereby requests that his testimony period and all subsequent trial and briefing dates be reset to close thirty (30) days after receipt of the complete transcripts of the testimony and the documentary exhibits.

Dated: March 12, 2007
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

By: _____

John T. Johnson
Irene E. Hudson
Citigroup Center – 52nd Floor
153 East 53rd Street
New York, NY 10022
Tel.: (212) 765-5070
Fax: (212) 258-2291

Attorneys for Respondent
MICHAEL HWANG

EXHIBIT A

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

Frederick P. Fish
1855-1930

W.K. Richardson
1859-1951

Citigroup Center
153 East 53rd Street,
52nd Floor
New York, New York
10022-4611

Telephone
212 765-5070

Facsimile
212 258-2291

Web Site
www.fr.com

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

March 12, 2007

Mr. Kurt Koenig
Koenig & Associates
226 E. Canon Perdido St., Suite M
Santa Barbara, California 93101

Re: Roger Orozco and Nora Orozco v. Michael Hwang
Our Ref.: 18503-002001



AUSTIN

BOSTON

DALLAS

DELAWARE

NEW YORK

SAN DIEGO

SILICON VALLEY

TWIN CITIES

WASHINGTON, DC

Dear Mr. Koenig:

Registrant, in its MOTION TO RESET REGISTRANT'S TESTIMONY PERIOD AND ALL SUBSEQUENT DATES PURSUANT TO TBMP §§ 703.01(k), (m), 37 C.F.R. § 2.125(a), stated that he was willing to agree to the Board's standard protective order to govern the use and disclosure of confidential information in the proceeding. To date, Petitioners have failed to state whether they were agreeable to such an order. Nevertheless, we have executed the Board's standard protective order and look forward to finally receiving a complete copy of the testimonial transcripts and exhibits. As you know, we have asked the Board to reset Registrant's testimony period (and all subsequent dates) to open thirty days after we have received such transcripts and exhibits. We again ask that you consent to that request so that the currently pending motion can be withdrawn; otherwise, we will await the Board's decision on the motion.

With respect to Registrant's currently pending motion to strike Petitioners' testimony, we again ask that you make Nora Orozco and Tony Sugden available for cross-examination, either by phone or in person deposition, after we have had an opportunity to review their testimony.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Very truly yours,

Irene Hudson

Enclosure

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Roger Orozco and Nora Orozco,

Petitioners,

v.

Michael Hwang

Respondent.

Cancellation No.: 92/043,811

Registration No.: 2,846,833

Mark: OAKTREE (& Design)

Date Registered: May 25, 2004

**PROVISIONS FOR PROTECTING
CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION
REVEALED DURING BOARD PROCEEDING**

Information disclosed by any party or non-party witness during this proceeding may be considered confidential, a trade secret, or commercially sensitive by a party or witness. To preserve the confidentiality of the information so disclosed, **either** the parties have agreed to be bound by the terms of this order, in its standard form, As used in this order, the term "information" covers both oral testimony and documentary material.

Agreement of the parties is indicated by the signatures of the parties' attorneys and/or the parties themselves at the conclusion of the order. Imposition of the terms by the Board is indicated by signature of a Board attorney or Administrative Trademark Judge at the conclusion of the order. If the parties have signed the order, they may have created a contract. The terms are binding from the date the parties or their attorneys sign the order, in standard form or as modified or supplemented, or from the date of imposition by a Board attorney or judge.

TERMS OF ORDER

1) Classes of Protected Information.

The Rules of Practice in Trademark Cases provide that all inter partes proceeding files, as well as the involved registration and application files, are open to public inspection. The terms of this order are not to be used to undermine public access to files. When appropriate, however, a party or witness, on its own or through its attorney, may seek to protect the confidentiality of information by employing one of the following designations.

Confidential—Material to be shielded by the Board from public access.

Highly Confidential—Material to be shielded by the Board from public access and subject to agreed restrictions on access even as to the parties and/or their attorneys.

Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive—Material to be shielded by the Board from public access, restricted from any access by the parties, and available for review by outside counsel for the parties and, subject to the provisions of paragraph 4 and 5, by independent experts or consultants for the parties.

2) Information Not to Be Designated as Protected.

Information may not be designated as subject to any form of protection if it (a) is, or becomes, public knowledge, as shown by publicly available writings, other than through violation of the terms of this document; (b) is acquired by a non-designating party or non-party witness from a third party lawfully possessing such information and having no obligation to the owner of the information; (c) was lawfully possessed by a non-designating party or non-party witness prior to the opening of discovery in this proceeding, and for which there is written evidence of the lawful possession; (d) is disclosed by a non-designating party or non-party witness legally compelled to disclose the information; or (e) is disclosed by a non-designating party with the approval of the designating party.

3) Access to Protected Information.

The provisions of this order regarding access to protected information are subject to modification by written agreement of the parties or their attorneys, or by motion filed with and approved by the Board.

Judges, attorneys, and other employees of the Board are bound to honor the parties' designations of information as protected but are not required to sign forms acknowledging the terms and existence of this order. Court reporters, stenographers, video technicians or others who may be employed by the parties or their attorneys to perform services incidental to this proceeding will be bound only to the extent that the parties or their attorneys make it a condition of employment or obtain agreements from such individuals, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 4.

- **Parties** are defined as including individuals, officers of corporations, partners of partnerships, and management employees of any type of business organization.
- **Attorneys** for parties are defined as including **in-house counsel** and **outside counsel**, including support staff operating under counsel's direction, such as paralegals or legal assistants, secretaries, and any other employees or independent contractors operating under counsel's instruction.
- **Independent experts or consultants** include individuals retained by a party for purposes related to prosecution or defense of the proceeding but who are not otherwise employees of either the party or its attorneys.

- **Non-party witnesses** include any individuals to be deposed during discovery or trial, whether willingly or under subpoena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction over the witness.

Parties and their **attorneys** shall have access to information designated as **confidential** or **highly confidential**, subject to any agreed exceptions.

Outside counsel, but not in-house counsel, shall have access to information designated as **trade secret/commercially sensitive**.

Independent experts or consultants, non-party witnesses, and any other individual not otherwise specifically covered by the terms of this order may be afforded access to **confidential** or **highly confidential** information in accordance with the terms that follow in paragraph 4. Further, **independent experts or consultants** may have access to **trade secret/commercially sensitive** information if such access is agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Board, in accordance with the terms that follow in paragraph 4 and 5.

4) Disclosure to Any Individual.

Prior to disclosure of protected information by any party or its attorney to any individual not already provided access to such information by the terms of this order, the individual shall be informed of the existence of this order and provided with a copy to read. The individual will then be required to certify in writing that the order has been read and understood and that the terms shall be binding on the individual. No individual shall receive any protected information until the party or attorney proposing to disclose the information has received the signed certification from the individual. A form for such certification is attached to this order. The party or attorney receiving the completed form shall retain the original.

5) Disclosure to Independent Experts or Consultants.

In addition to meeting the requirements of paragraph 4, any party or attorney proposing to share disclosed information with an independent expert or consultant must also notify the party which designated the information as protected. Notification must be personally served or forwarded by certified mail, return receipt requested, and shall provide notice of the name, address, occupation and professional background of the expert or independent consultant.

The party or its attorney receiving the notice shall have ten (10) business days to object to disclosure to the expert or independent consultant. If objection is made, then the parties must negotiate the issue before raising the issue before the Board. If the parties are unable to settle their dispute, then it shall be the obligation of the party or attorney proposing disclosure to bring the matter before the Board with an explanation of the need for disclosure and a report on the efforts the parties have made to settle their dispute. The party objecting to disclosure will be expected to respond with its arguments against disclosure or its objections will be deemed waived.

6) Responses to Written Discovery.

Responses to interrogatories under Federal Rule 33 and requests for admissions under Federal Rule 36, and which the responding party reasonably believes to contain protected information shall be prominently stamped or marked with the appropriate designation from paragraph 1. Any inadvertent disclosure without appropriate designation shall be remedied as soon as the disclosing party learns of its error, by informing all adverse parties, in writing, of the error. The parties should inform the Board only if necessary because of the filing of protected information not in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 12.

7) Production of Documents.

If a party responds to requests for production under Federal Rule 34 by making copies and forwarding the copies to the inquiring party, then the copies shall be prominently stamped or marked, as necessary, with the appropriate designation from paragraph 1. If the responding party makes documents available for inspection and copying by the inquiring party, all documents shall be considered protected during the course of inspection. After the inquiring party informs the responding party what documents are to be copied, the responding party will be responsible for prominently stamping or marking the copies with the appropriate designation from paragraph 1. Any inadvertent disclosure without appropriate designation shall be remedied as soon as the disclosing party learns of its error, by informing all adverse parties, in writing, of the error. The parties should inform the Board only if necessary because of the filing of protected information not in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 12.

8) Depositions.

Protected documents produced during a discovery deposition, or offered into evidence during a testimony deposition shall be orally noted as such by the producing or offering party at the outset of any discussion of the document or information contained in the document. In addition, the documents must be prominently stamped or marked with the appropriate designation.

During discussion of any non-documentary protected information, the interested party shall make oral note of the protected nature of the information.

The transcript of any deposition and all exhibits or attachments shall be considered protected for 30 days following the date of service of the transcript by the party that took the deposition. During that 30-day period, either party may designate the portions of the transcript, and any specific exhibits or attachments, that are to be treated as protected, by electing the appropriate designation from paragraph 1. Appropriate stampings or markings should be made during this time. If no such designations are made, then the entire transcript and exhibits will be considered unprotected.

9) Filing Notices of Reliance.

When a party or its attorney files a notice of reliance during the party's testimony period, the party or attorney is bound to honor designations made by the adverse party or attorney, or non-party witness, who disclosed the information, so as to maintain the protected status of the information.

10) Briefs.

When filing briefs, memoranda, or declarations in support of a motion, or briefs at final hearing, the portions of these filings that discuss protected information, whether information of the filing party, or any adverse party, or any non-party witness, should be redacted. The rule of reasonableness for redaction is discussed in paragraph 12 of this order.

11) Handling of Protected Information.

Disclosure of information protected under the terms of this order is intended only to facilitate the prosecution or defense of this case. The recipient of any protected information disclosed in accordance with the terms of this order is obligated to maintain the confidentiality of the information and shall exercise reasonable care in handling, storing, using or disseminating the information.

12) Redaction; Filing Material With the Board.

When a party or attorney must file protected information with the Board, or a brief that discusses such information, the protected information or portion of the brief discussing the same should be redacted from the remainder. A rule of reasonableness should dictate how redaction is effected.

Redaction can entail merely covering a portion of a page of material when it is copied in anticipation of filing but can also entail the more extreme measure of simply filing the entire page under seal as one that contains primarily confidential material. If only a sentence or short paragraph of a page of material is confidential, covering that material when the page is copied would be appropriate. In contrast, if most of the material on the page is confidential, then filing the entire page under seal would be more reasonable, even if some small quantity of non-confidential material is then withheld from the public record. Likewise, when a multi-page document is in issue, reasonableness would dictate that redaction of the portions or pages containing confidential material be effected when only some small number of pages contain such material. In contrast, if almost every page of the document contains some confidential material, it may be more reasonable to simply submit the entire document under seal. **Occasions when a whole document or brief must be submitted under seal should be very rare.**

Protected information, and pleadings, briefs or memoranda that reproduce, discuss or paraphrase such information, shall be filed with the Board under seal. The envelopes or

containers shall be prominently stamped or marked with a legend in substantially the following form:

CONFIDENTIAL

This envelope contains documents or information that are subject to a protective order or agreement. The confidentiality of the material is to be maintained and the envelope is not to be opened, or the contents revealed to any individual, except by order of the Board.

13) Acceptance of Information; Inadvertent Disclosure.

Acceptance by a party or its attorney of information disclosed under designation as protected shall not constitute an admission that the information is, in fact, entitled to protection. Inadvertent disclosure of information which the disclosing party intended to designate as protected shall not constitute waiver of any right to claim the information as protected upon discovery of the error.

14) Challenges to Designations of Information as Protected.

If the parties or their attorneys disagree as to whether certain information should be protected, they are obligated to negotiate in good faith regarding the designation by the disclosing party. If the parties are unable to resolve their differences, the party challenging the designation may make a motion before the Board seeking a determination of the status of the information.

A challenge to the designation of information as protected must be made substantially contemporaneous with the designation, or as soon as practicable after the basis for challenge is known. When a challenge is made long after a designation of information as protected, the challenging party will be expected to show why it could not have made the challenge at an earlier time.

The party designating information as protected will, when its designation is timely challenged, bear the ultimate burden of proving that the information should be protected.

15) Board's Jurisdiction; Handling of Materials After Termination.

The Board's jurisdiction over the parties and their attorneys ends when this proceeding is terminated. A proceeding is terminated only after a final order is entered and either all appellate proceedings have been resolved or the time for filing an appeal has passed without filing of any appeal.

The parties may agree that archival copies of evidence and briefs may be retained, subject to compliance with agreed safeguards. Otherwise, within 30 days after the final termination of this proceeding, the parties and their attorneys shall return to each disclosing party the protected information disclosed during the proceeding, and shall include any briefs, memoranda, summaries, and the like, which discuss or in any way

refer to such information. In the alternative, the disclosing party or its attorney may make a written request that such materials be destroyed rather than returned.

16) Other Rights of the Parties and Attorneys.

This order shall not preclude the parties or their attorneys from making any applicable claims of privilege during discovery or at trial. Nor shall the order preclude the filing of any motion with the Board for relief from a particular provision of this order or for additional protections not provided by this order.

By Agreement of the Following, effective March __, 2007.


KOENIG & ASSOCIATES

By: _____
Kurt Koenig

220 E. Canon Perdido Street
Suite M
Santa Barbara, California 93101

Attorneys for Petitioners
ROGER OROZCO and NORA OROZCO

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

By:  _____
John T. Johnson

Irene E. Hudson
Citigroup Center – 52nd Floor
153 East 53rd Street
New York, NY 10022

Attorneys for Respondent
MICHAEL HWANG

[print or type name and title of Board attorney
or judge imposing order]

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Roger Orozco and Nora Orozco,

Petitioners,

v.

Michael Hwang

Respondent.

Cancellation No.: 92/043,811

Registration No.: 2,846,833

Mark: OAKTREE (& Design)

Date Registered: May 25, 2004

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF
AGREEMENT OR ORDER PROTECTING
CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION
REVEALED DURING BOARD PROCEEDING**

I, _____ [print name], declare that I have been provided with a copy of the Agreement or Order regarding the disclosure of, and protection of, certain types of information and documents during and after the above-captioned opposition or cancellation proceeding before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board.

I have read the Agreement or Order and understand its terms and provisions, by which I agree to be bound. Specifically, I agree to hold in confidence any information or documents disclosed to me in conjunction with any part I take in this proceeding.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that these statements are true and correct.

[signature]

[print title, if applicable]


[date]

30331047.doc

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of REGISTRANT'S REPLY TO PETITIONERS' OPPOSITION TO REGISTRANT'S MOTION TO RESET REGISTRANT'S TESTIMONY PERIOD AND ALL SUBSEQUENT DATES PURSUANT TO TBMP §§ 703.01(k), (m), 37 C.F.R. § 2.125(a) was sent via first class mail, postage prepaid, on March 12, 2007, to Petitioners' Attorney of Record at the following address:

Kurt Koenig
KOENIG & ASSOCIATES
220 E. Canon Perdido Street, Suite M
Santa Barbara, California 93101



Irene E. Hudson